rma

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
O.O.C.J.

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 605 OF 2004
IN
APPEAL NO. 1183 OF 2002
IN
SUIT NO. 3769 OF 2000
WITH
APPEAL NO. 1183 OF 2002

Batra Developments .. Appellant
Vs
B.C. Silaichia .. Respondent

ALONG WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 607 OF 2004
IN
APPEAL NO. 1182 OF 2002
IN
SUIT NO. 3768 OF 2000
WITH
APPEAL NO. 1182 OF 2002

Batra Developments .. Appellant
Vs
Prakash .C. Silaichia .. Respondent

Jyoti Saigal for the Appellant

CORAM : B.H. MARLAPALLE &
SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, JJ

DATE : 3rd March, 2010

P.C.:

1. The learned counsel mentioned these Motions in second half and stated that the parties have already settled all the disputes covered

by these Appeals. She, therefore, seeks leave to restore the Motions with liberty to withdraw the Appeals.

2. The Motions are restored and allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

3. The Appeals are dismissed as withdrawn.

4. Refund of the Court fees as per Rules.

[SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.] **[B.H. MARLAPALLE, J.]**